

Remarks:

This application has been carefully considered in light of the Official Office action and the Claims have been rewritten in an effort to further define the invention and to distinguish over the prior art.

The Milbourn reference shows no telescoping boom structure with a saw at the forward outer end of the telescoping boom, as recited in Claims 7 and 8, nor the extendable boom as recited in Claim 9.


It has no coaxial rotation of the chain saw that is rotation coaxial of the length of the boom as in applicant's device (Fig. 6) and as recited in all the claims. It shows only a vertical rotation of the saw.

Third, it has no housing to house the saw nor structure to move the saw in and out of the housing as recited in Claims 8 and 9, wherein the chain saw is contained and protected within the housing when not in use and pivots downward out of the housing when its intended for use. Thus, the basic differences between the Milbourn and applicant's structure are apparent, and novel, and their favorable consideration is courteously requested.

A new set of drawing are being filed herewith, and a clean copy of the corrections to the specification together with a corrected copy are also being enclosed, to correct errors in the specification.

Favorable action is courteously requested,

Respectfully,



Robert E. Kleve  
Attorney of Record  
Ph. 701-772-4311